

To: Kender, Edward[ekender@blm.gov]
Cc: Katusak, Justin[jkatusak@blm.gov]; Raymond Suazo[rmsuazo@blm.gov]; Darrel (Wayne) Monger[dmonger@blm.gov]; Wonsook Sprague[wonsook.sprague@sol.doi.gov]; Aaron Moody[aaron.moody@sol.doi.gov]; Cally Younger[cyounger@blm.gov]
From: Damm, Laura
Sent: 2017-09-29T12:25:39-04:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: SDNM- target shooting case draft extension/declaration
Received: 2017-09-29T12:25:52-04:00
[82 FRN 22016 May 11.2017.pdf](#)

Thank you, All.

I think it is preferable to include the current version of the (b)(5) ACP [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (82 FR 22016 (May 11, 2017)). Attached is the DOI FR notice, if you would like to take a look.

Ed, We are waiting to hear back from DOJ about final edits on your declaration. I'll forward a final version for your signature soon.

On Fri, Sep 29, 2017 at 12:02 PM, Kender, Edward <ekender@blm.gov> wrote:

Justin,

I've reviewed the comments from Denver and according to Phoenix District Chief Ranger, Patrick Brasington, that is a true statement regarding observed target shooting on the monument.

Additionally, (b)(5) DPP [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Thank you for your comments and feedback.

Thank you,

Ed Kender

Lower Sonoran Field Manager

Bureau of Land Management Phoenix District

21605 N. 7th Avenue

Phoenix, AZ 85027

-

(623) 580 5616 Office

(602) 799 3955 Cell

(623) 580 5580 Fax

On Fri, Sep 29, 2017 at 8:35 AM, Katusak, Justin <jkatusak@blm.gov> wrote:

All,

For your consideration, please see attached my thoughts as to DOJ's comments on the draft motion and declaration. John, Cally, and I differ to any additional comments/edits BLM AZ may have, but we are otherwise good with filing these documents.

Thanks,
Justin

On Thu, Sep 28, 2017 at 11:47 PM, Damm, Laura <laura.damm@sol.doi.gov> wrote:

Privileged/ Attorney Client Communication/Do Not Disclose

Hello All,

Attached for your review, is a draft motion for an extension and draft declaration.

(b)(5) ACP

(b)(5)
ACP

Please send any comments you have as soon as possible. DOJ has to file this tomorrow.

Many thanks for your help.

Laura

--

Laura W. Damm, Attorney Advisor
Office of the Solicitor
Division of Land Resources
U.S. Department of the Interior
ph. 202-208-5431
fax 202-219-1792
laura.damm@sol.doi.gov

This e mail (including attachments) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this e mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this e mail or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you received this e mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies. Thank you.

--

Justin Katusak
Acting Senior Litigation Specialist
Office of the Director
Bureau of Land Management
Desk: (202) 208-4695
Cell: (202) 365-6050

--

Laura W. Damm, Attorney Advisor
Office of the Solicitor
Division of Land Resources
U.S. Department of the Interior
ph. 202-208-5431
fax 202-219-1792
laura.damm@sol.doi.gov

This e mail (including attachments) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this e mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this e mail or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you received this e mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies. Thank you.



22016

Federal Register / Vol. 82, No. 90 / Thursday, May 11, 2017 / Notices

Four Renewal of Projects Exempted From OMHAR, Option Five Renewal of Portfolio Reengineering Demonstration or Preservation Projects, and Option Six Opt Outs. Owners should select one of six options which are applicable to their project and should submit contract renewal on an annual basis to renew contract.

The Section 8 Renewal Guide sets forth six renewal options from which a project owner may choose when renewing their expiring Section 8 contracts.

Option One (Mark Up To Market)

Option Two (Other Contract Renewals with Current Rents at or Below Comparable Market Rents Option Three (Referral to the Office of Multifamily Housing Assistant Restructuring OHAP) Option Four (Renewal of Projects Exempted from OHAP)

Option Five (Renewal of Portfolio Reengineering Demonstration or Preservation Projects)

Option Six (Opt Outs)

Respondents: Business or other for profit and non profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 25,439.

Estimated Number of Responses: 25,439.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Average Hours per Response: 1 hour.

Total Estimated Burden: 24,680.

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

Authority: Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: May 4, 2017.

Genger Charles,
General Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Housing.

[FR Doc. 2017-09507 Filed 5-10-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary

[Docket No. DOI 2017 0002]

Review of Certain National Monuments Established Since 1996; Notice of Opportunity for Public Comment

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Interior.

ACTION: Notice; Request for comments.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of the Interior is conducting a review of certain National Monuments designated or expanded since 1996 under the Antiquities Act of 1906 in order to implement Executive Order 13792 of April 26, 2017. The Secretary of the Interior will use the review to determine whether each designation or expansion conforms to the policy stated in the Executive Order and to formulate recommendations for Presidential actions, legislative proposals, or other appropriate actions to carry out that policy. This Notice identifies twenty seven National Monuments under review and invites comments to inform the review.

DATES: To ensure consideration, written comments relating to the Bears Ears National Monument must be submitted before May 26, 2017. Written comments relating to all other National Monuments must be submitted before July 10, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may submit written comments online at <http://www.regulations.gov> by entering "DOI 2017 0002" in the Search bar and clicking "Search," or by mail to Monument Review, MS 1530, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Randal Bowman, 202 208 1906, RR.Bowman@ios.doi.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Executive Order 13792 of April 26, 2017 (82 FR 20429, May 1, 2017), directs the Secretary of the Interior to review certain National Monuments designated or expanded under the Antiquities Act of 1906, 54 U.S.C. 320301 320303 (Act). Specifically, Section 2 of the Executive Order directs the Secretary to conduct a

review of all Presidential designations or expansions of designations under the Antiquities Act made since January 1, 1996, where the designation covers more than 100,000 acres, where the designation after expansion covers more than 100,000 acres, or where the Secretary determines that the designation or expansion was made without adequate public outreach and coordination with relevant stakeholders, to determine whether each designation or expansion conforms to the policy set forth in section 1 of the order. Among other provisions, Section 1 states that designations should reflect the Act's "requirements and original objectives" and "appropriately balance the protection of landmarks, structures, and objects against the appropriate use of Federal lands and the effects on surrounding lands and communities." 82 FR 20429 (May 1, 2017).

In making the requisite determinations, the Secretary is directed to consider:

(i) The requirements and original objectives of the Act, including the Act's requirement that reservations of land not exceed "the smallest area compatible with the proper care and management of the objects to be protected";

(ii) whether designated lands are appropriately classified under the Act as "historic landmarks, historic and prehistoric structures, [or] other objects of historic or scientific interest";

(iii) the effects of a designation on the available uses of designated Federal lands, including consideration of the multiple use policy of section 102(a)(7) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (43 U.S.C. 1701(a)(7)), as well as the effects on the available uses of Federal lands beyond the monument boundaries;

(iv) the effects of a designation on the use and enjoyment of non Federal lands within or beyond monument boundaries;

(v) concerns of State, tribal, and local governments affected by a designation, including the economic development and fiscal condition of affected States, tribes, and localities;

(vi) the availability of Federal resources to properly manage designated areas; and

(vii) such other factors as the Secretary deems appropriate. 82 FR 20429 20430 (May 1, 2017).

The National Monuments being initially reviewed are listed in the following tables.

NATIONAL MONUMENTS BEING INITIALLY REVIEWED PURSUANT TO CRITERIA IN EXECUTIVE ORDER 13792

Monument	Location	Year(s)	Acreage
Basin and Range	Nevada	2015	703,585
Bears Ears	Utah	2016	1,353,000
Berryessa Snow Mountain	California	2015	330,780
Canyons of the Ancients	Colorado	2000	175,160
Carrizo Plain	California	2001	204,107
Cascade Siskiyou	Oregon	2000/2017	100,000
Craters of the Moon	Idaho	1924/2000	737,525
Giant Sequoia	California	2000	327,760
Gold Butte	Nevada	2016	296,937
Grand Canyon Parashant	Arizona	2000	1,014,000
Grand Staircase Escalante	Utah	1996	1,700,000
Hanford Reach	Washington	2000	194,450.93
Ironwood Forest	Arizona	2000	128,917
Mojave Trails	California	2016	1,600,000
Organ Mountains Desert Peaks	New Mexico	2014	496,330
Rio Grande del Norte	New Mexico	2013	242,555
Sand to Snow	California	2016	154,000
San Gabriel Mountains	California	2014	346,177
Sonoran Desert	Arizona	2001	486,149
Upper Missouri River Breaks	Montana	2001	377,346
Vermilion Cliffs	Arizona	2000	279,568

NATIONAL MONUMENTS BEING REVIEWED TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE DESIGNATION OR EXPANSION WAS MADE WITHOUT ADEQUATE PUBLIC OUTREACH AND COORDINATION WITH RELEVANT STAKEHOLDERS

Katahdin Woods and Waters	Maine	2016	87,563
---------------------------------	-------------	------	--------

The Department of the Interior seeks public comments related to: (1) Whether national monuments in addition to those listed above should be reviewed because they were designated or expanded after January 1, 1996 “without adequate public outreach and coordination with relevant stakeholders;” and (2) the application of factors (i) through (vii) to the listed national monuments or to other Presidential designations or expansions

of designations meeting the criteria of the Executive Order. With respect to factor (vii), comments should address other factors the Secretary might consider for this review.

In a separate but related process, certain Marine National Monuments will also be reviewed. As directed by section 4 of Executive Order 13795 of April 28, 2017, “Implementing an America First Offshore Energy Strategy” (82 FR 20815, May 3, 2017), the

Department of Commerce will lead the review of the Marine National Monuments in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior. To assist in that consultation, the Secretary will accept comments related to the application of factors (i) through (vii) in Executive Order 13792 as set forth above to the following Marine National Monuments:

MARINE NATIONAL MONUMENTS BEING REVIEWED PURSUANT TO EXECUTIVE ORDERS 13795 AND 13792

Marianas Trench	CNMI/Pacific Ocean	2009	60,938,240
Northeast Canyons and Seamounts	Atlantic Ocean	2016	3,114,320
Pacific Remote Islands	Pacific Ocean	2009	55,608,320
Papahānaumokuākea	Hawaii	2006/2016	89,600,000
Rose Atoll	American Samoa	2009	8,609,045

Before including your name, address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment including your personal identifying information may be made publicly available at any time. While you may ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: E.O. 13792, 82 FR 20429 (May 1, 2017).

James Cason,
Special Assistant, Delegated the Functions, Duties, and Responsibilities of the Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017–09490 Filed 5–10–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4334–64–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701 TA 558 and 731 TA 1316 (Final)]

1-Hydroxyethylidene-1, 1-Diphosphonic Acid (“HEDP”) From China; Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).